Violation of Legality of Object Permitted by Harris Bock

The Arbitrator Harris Bock **illegally** gave Travelers the victory by refusing to bar the Arbitration Agreement based upon the **"fully documented"** fraudulent expert witness report used by Travelers in their defense which invalidated the Arbitration Agreement, since the fraud clearly **VIOLATED** the Doctrine of **Legality of Object**. Read the court stenographer's notes of Bock's **REFUSAL** to invalidate the Arbitration Agreement since it would benefit the Plaintiff and harm Travelers.

When an expert witness testifies based on legally improper information (breaching "legality of object," likely meaning an unlawful basis for opinion, like fraud/perjury) in an arbitration involving a public company, potential violations involve perjury/false swearing (state/federal), fraud on the court, ethics violations for lawyers/experts, and potential securities fraud, leading to sanctions, disqualification, and even criminal charges (felonies) under state (e.g., PA crimes code for perjury) or federal law (18 U.S.C. § 1621, 18 U.S.C. § 1001) for false statements, though proving intent to defraud the court/arbitrator is key.

Key Criminal & Civil Violations

- 1. Perjury/False Swearing (State & Federal):
 - Federal: 18 U.S.C. § 1621 (Perjury) or 18 U.S.C. § 1623 (False Declarations before Grand Jury/Court).
 - **Pennsylvania:** Crimes Code, e.g., 18 Pa. Cons. Stat. § 4902 (Perjury) for knowingly making false statements under oath.
 - Application: If the expert knowingly bases their opinion on fabricated or illegal data, this is perjury.

2. Fraud on the Court (Civil/Ethical):

• An arbitrator's proceeding, especially involving a public company, can be considered an extension of the judicial process. Intentionally introducing false evidence is a fraud on the forum.

2. Witness Tampering/Obstruction:

 If the company or its lawyers influenced the expert to use illegal info, it could be obstruction of justice (18 U.S.C. § 1503/1512) or witness tampering.

2. Professional Ethics & Sanctions:

- Lawyers: Violations of rules like PA Rule of Professional Conduct 3.3 (Candor Toward the Tribunal) or 3.4 (Fairness to Opposing Party) for knowingly offering false evidence.
- Experts: Loss of license, sanctions, being barred from future testimony.

2. **Securities Fraud** (Potential):

If the false testimony influences investors or market manipulation, it could trigger SEC action and federal securities fraud charges (e.g., 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), Rule 10b-5).

Role of the Arbitrator & Public Company

- Arbitrator: The arbitrator's failure to stop it could be grounds for appeal/vacatur
 of the award, but they aren't typically the *criminal* target unless complicit; their
 ruling might be challenged for exceeding powers or manifest disregard of the
 law.
- Public Company: Faces massive liability, SEC scrutiny, reputational damage, and potential RICO (Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organizations Act) claims if part of a broader pattern.